

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,544	03/03/2004	Song-yean Cho	Q79871	3298	
23373 SMCHDUE MI				EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			BOKHARI, SYED M		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, D	DC 20037		2616		
				<u> </u>	
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	'		, i			
<u>-</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/791,544	CHO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Syed Bokhari	2616			
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address			
A SHC WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failun Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, m will apply and will expire SIX (6) a. cause the application to becor	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	lovember 2007.				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.	ı				
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement				
Application	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) 🔲 🗆	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12)🛛 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		een received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies	not received.			
Attachment		, .	iou Currence (BTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 04/06/2006.	· =	e of Informal Patent Application ·:			

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant amendment filed on November 02nd, 2007 has been entered. Claim 1, has been amended. Claims 1-27 are still pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, 13, 17-19, 21, and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al. (USP 184,421 B1).

Liu et al. discloses a communication system for techniques that use network topology information to build and maintain a dynamically ad-hoc network with the following features: regarding claim 1, a system for reliably broadcasting a data packet under an ad-hoc network environment, the system comprising (Fig. 1, controlled flood multicast network nodes in ad-hoc network environment, see "ad-hoc network capable of efficiently routing both multicast and unicast traffic" recited in column 2 lines 59-66); a comparing unit operable to compare a first relay node sequence number with a second

Art Unit: 2616

relay node sequence number, the first relay node sequence number being contained in a management packet received by at least one node transmitting the data packet, the second relay node sequence number being stored in a neighbor table of the at least one node (Fig. 18, technique for forwarding CFM unicast message, see "comparing the sequence number and node identifier against the stored list" recited in column 29 lines 26-32 and column 14 lines 11-22) and a control unit operable to determine whether or not the data packet is retransmitted to the node according to a result of the comparison (Fig. 2B, a typical CFM communication node, see "if the designated destination matches the identifier of the receiving node, the message is forwarded" recited in column 29 lines 30-38); regarding claim 4, wherein the data packet includes at least one of Internet protocol addresses of neighboring nodes, relay nodes, link status, and relay node sequence numbers (Fig. 17, processing a probe-request or probe-reply message, see "unicast message includes node identifier, a sequence number, a relay list" recited in column 28 lines 30-45); regarding claim 5, wherein the data packet includes at least one of Internet protocol addresses of neighboring nodes, relay nodes, link status, and relay node sequence numbers (Fig. 15, CFM technique by which a CFM node processes a controlled flood message, see "update the receiving CFM node link cache using relay list information contained in received message" recited in column 24 lines 57-65); regarding claim 6, a system for reliably broadcasting a data packet under an ad-hoc network environment, the system comprising (Fig. 1, controlled flood multicast network nodes in ad-hoc network environment, see "ad-hoc network capable of efficiently routing both multicast and unicast traffic" recited in column 2 lines 59-66), a determining unit

Art Unit: 2616

application/Control Number: 10/191,54

operable to determine whether or not at least one node that receives the data packet is a relay node which transmits the received data packet to other neighboring nodes (Fig. 13, technique by which node determines whether to transmit data to neighbors" recited in column 23 lines 1-20); a comparing unit operable to compare a first relay node sequence number with a second relay node sequence number the first relay node sequence number being contained in a management packet which the node that receives the data packet transmits the second relay node sequence number being stored in a neighbor table of the at least one node (Fig. 18, technique for forwarding CFM unicast message, see "comparing the sequence number and node identifier against the stored list" recited in column 29 lines 26-32 and column 14 lines 11-22) and a control unit operable to determine whether or not the data packet is retransmitted to the at least one node according to a result of the comparison (Fig. 2B, a typical CFM communication node, see "if the designated destination matches the identifier of the receiving node, the message is forwarded" recited in column 29 lines 30-38); regarding claim 9, wherein the data packet includes at least one of Internet protocol addresses of neighboring nodes, relay nodes, link status, and relay node sequence numbers (Fig. 17, processing a probe-request or probe-reply message, see "unicast message includes node identifier, a sequence number, a relay list" recited in column 28 lines 30-45); regarding claim 10, (Fig. 15, CFM technique by which a CFM node processes a controlled flood message, see "update the receiving CFM node link cache using relay list information contained in received message" recited in column 24 lines 57-65); regarding claim 11, a method for reliably broadcasting a data packet under an ad-hoc

Art Unit: 2616

network environment, the method comprising (Fig. 1, controlled flood multicast network nodes in ad-hoc network environment, see "ad-hoc network capable of efficiently routing both multicast and unicast traffic" recited in column 2 lines 59-66), broadcasting the data packet to neighboring nodes (Fig. 13, technique by which node determines whether to transmit data to neighbors" recited in column 23 lines 1-20), comparing a first relay node sequence number with a second relay node sequence number the first relay node sequence number being contained in a management packet which each of the neighboring nodes transmits the second relay node sequence number being stored in a neighbor table of each of the neighboring nodes (Fig. 18, technique for forwarding CFM unicast message, see "comparing the sequence number and node identifier against the stored list" recited in column 29 lines 26-32 and column 14 lines 11-22) and determining whether or not the data packet is retransmitted to the neighboring nodes according to a result of the comparison (Fig. 2B, a typical CFM communication node, see "if the designated destination matches the identifier of the receiving node, the message is forwarded" recited in column 29 lines 30-38); regarding claim 13, wherein the step of comparing comprises receiving the management packet from the neighboring nodes (Fig. 18, technique for forwarding CFM unicast message, see "when a node receives a unicast message" recited in column 29 lines 26-27) and comparing the first relay node sequence number contained in a received management packet with a second relay node sequence number stored in a neighbor table of each of the neighboring nodes (Fig. 18, technique for forwarding CFM unicast message, see "comparing the sequence number and node identifier against the stored list" recited in column 29 lines 26-32 and

Art Unit: 2616

column 14 lines 11-22); regarding claim 17, wherein the data packet includes at least one of Internet protocol addresses of neighboring nodes, relay nodes, link status, and relay node sequence numbers (Fig. 17, processing a probe-request or probe-reply message, see "unicast message includes node identifier, a sequence number, a relay list" recited in column 28 lines 30-45); regarding claim 18, wherein the neighbor table is updated on the basis of information of the management packet each of the predetermined number of times (Fig. 15, CFM technique by which a CFM node processes a controlled flood message, see "update the receiving CFM node link cache using relay list information contained in received message" recited in column 24 lines 57-65); regarding claim 19, a method for reliably broadcasting a data packet under an ad-hoc network environment, the method comprising (Fig. 1, controlled flood multicast network nodes in ad-hoc network environment, see "ad-hoc network capable of efficiently routing both multicast and unicast traffic" recited in column 2 lines 59-66), checking whether at least one node operable to receive the data packet is a relay node, as a result of checking, when the node is a relay node, broadcasting the data packet to neighboring nodes (Fig. 13, technique by which node determines whether to transmit data to neighbors" recited in column 23 lines 1-20), comparing a first relay node sequence number with a second relay node sequence number the first relay node sequence number being contained in a management packet which each of the neighboring nodes transmits the second relay node sequence number being stored in a neighbor table of each of the neighboring nodes (Fig. 18, technique for forwarding CFM unicast message, see "comparing the sequence number and node identifier against the

Art Unit: 2616

stored list" recited in column 29 lines 26-32 and column 14 lines 11-22) and determining whether or not the data packet is retransmitted to the neighboring nodes according to a result of the comparison (Fig. 2B, a typical CFM communication node, see "if the designated destination matches the identifier of the receiving node, the message is forwarded" recited in column 29 lines 30-38); regarding claim 21, wherein the step of comparing comprises receiving the management packet from the neighboring nodes (Fig. 18, technique for forwarding CFM unicast message, see "when a node receives a unicast message" recited in column 29 lines 26-27) and comparing the first relay node sequence number contained in a received management packet with a second relay node sequence number stored in a neighbor table of each of the neighboring nodes (Fig. 18, technique for forwarding CFM unicast message, see "comparing the sequence number and node identifier against the stored list" recited in column 29 lines 26-32 and column 14 lines 11-22); regarding claim 25, wherein the data packet includes at least one of Internet protocol addresses of the neighboring nodes, relay nodes, link status, and relay node sequence numbers (Fig. 17, processing a probe-request or probe-reply message, see "unicast message includes node identifier, a sequence number, a relay list" recited in column 28 lines 30-45); regarding claim 26, wherein the neighbor table is updated on the basis of information of the management packet each of the predetermined number of times (Fig. 15, CFM technique by which a CFM node processes a controlled flood message, see "update the receiving CFM node link cache using relay list information contained in received message" recited in column 24 lines 57-65) and regarding claim 27, further comprising the step of; as a result of checking,

Art Unit: 2616

when the node is not the relay node, storing information of the received data packet in the neighbor table (Fig 18A, technique for forwarding CFM unicast message, see "message is copied and stored step 424" recited in column 28 lines 59-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2616

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 2, 7, 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. (USP 7,184,421 B1) in view of Ogier (USP 7,031,288 B2).

Liu et al. discloses the claimed limitations as described in paragraph 3 above. Liu et al. does not disclose the following features: regarding claim 2, wherein the control unit transmits the data packet, wherein after adding "1" to the second relay node sequence number and the resulting sequence number is included in the data packet; regarding claim 7, wherein the control unit transmits the data packet, wherein after adding "1" to the second relay node sequence number and the resulting sequence number is included in the data packet; regarding claim 12, wherein the step of broadcasting comprises adding "1" to the second relay node sequence number which is stored in the neighbor table of each of the neighboring nodes, adding the resulting relay node sequence number and predetermined information to the data packet, storing information of the data packet in the neighbor table and broadcasting the data packet to the neighboring nodes and regarding claim 20, wherein the step of broadcasting comprises adding "1" to the second relay node sequence number which is stored in the neighbor table of each of the neighboring nodes adding the resulting relay node sequence number and predetermined information to the data packet storing information of the data packet in the neighbor table and broadcasting the data packet to the neighboring nodes.

Art Unit: 2616

Application/Control Number: 10/191,54

Ogier discloses a communication system for discovering new neighbor nodes and detecting the loss of existing neighbor nodes with the following features: regarding claim 2, wherein the control unit transmits the data packet, wherein after adding "1" to the second relay node sequence number (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "sequence number is incremented each time a new broadcast packet is transmitted" recited in column 15 lines 39-41) and the resulting sequence number is included in the data packet (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "include the broadcast sequence number to allow neighbor nodes to process the update message" recited in column 15 lines 52-60); regarding claim 7, wherein the control unit transmits the data packet, wherein after adding "1" to the second relay node sequence number (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "sequence number is incremented each time a new broadcast packet is transmitted" recited in column 15 lines 39-41), the resulting sequence number is included in the data packet (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "include the broadcast sequence number to allow neighbor nodes to process the update message" recited in column 15 lines 52-60); regarding claim 12, wherein the step of broadcasting comprises adding "1" to the second relay node sequence number which is stored in the neighbor table of each of the neighboring nodes (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "sequence number is incremented each time a new broadcast packet is transmitted" recited in column 15 lines 39-41), adding the resulting relay node sequence number and predetermined information to the data packet (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "include the broadcast sequence number to allow neighbor nodes to process the update message" recited in column 15 lines 52-60),

Art Unit: 2616

storing information of the data packet in the neighbor table (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "neighbor table stores state information of neighbor node" recited in column 27 lines 59-61) and broadcasting the data packet to the neighboring nodes (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "broadcast update messages to neighboring nodes" recited in column 15 lines 35-39) and regarding claim 20, wherein the step of broadcasting comprises adding "1" to the second relay node sequence number which is stored in the neighbor table of each of the neighboring nodes (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "sequence number is incremented each time a new broadcast packet is transmitted" recited in column 15 lines 39-41), adding the resulting relay node sequence number and predetermined information to the data packet (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "include the broadcast sequence number to allow neighbor nodes to process the update message" recited in column 15 lines 52-60), storing information of the data packet in the neighbor table (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "neighbor table stores state information of neighbor node" recited in column 27 lines 59-61) and broadcasting the data packet to the neighboring nodes (Fig. 1, mobile internet working system, see "broadcast update messages to neighboring nodes" recited in column 15 lines 35-39).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Liu et al. by using the features, as taught by Ogier, in order to provide the function of a control unit transmitting the data packet after adding "1" to the second relay node sequence number and including the resulting sequence number in the data packet and storing information of the data packet in the

Art Unit: 2616

neighbor table. The motivation of using this function is to enhance the system functionalities in a cost effective manner.

5. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al (USP 7,184,421 B1) in view of Rhee (US 2003/0099221 A1).

Liu et al. discloses the claimed limitations as described in paragraph 3 above. Liu et al. does not disclose the following features: regarding claim 3, further comprising a memory unit which includes the neighbor table drafted on the basis of information of the management packet transmitted from the at least one node and regarding claim 8, further comprising a memory unit which includes the neighbor table drafted on the basis of information of the management packet transmitted from the at least one node.

Rhee et al. discloses a network protocol for use with ad-hoc wireless network with the following features: regarding claim 3, further comprising a memory unit which includes the neighbor table drafted on the basis of information of the management packet transmitted from the at least one node (Fig. 1, the wireless network where the protocol may be implemented, see "repeater stores a pointer in memory for identifying the neighbor nodes" recited in paragraph 0052 lines 1-9) and regarding claim 8, further comprising a memory unit which includes the neighbor table drafted on the basis of information of the management packet transmitted from the at least one node (Fig. 1, the wireless network where the protocol may be implemented, see "repeater stores a pointer in memory for identifying the neighbor nodes" recited in paragraph 0052 lines 1-9).

Art Unit: 2616

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Liu et al. by using the features, as taught by Rhee, in order to provide a memory unit which includes the neighbor table drafted on the basis of information of the management packet transmitted from the at least one node. The motivation of using the memory unit is to enhance the system functionalities in a cost effective manner.

6. Claims 14, 16, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al (USP 7,184,421 B1) in view of Riihinen et al. (USP 6,697,331 B1).

Liu et al. discloses the claimed limitations as described in paragraph 3 above.

Liu et al. also discloses the following features: regarding claim 16, wherein, when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are not equal, the neighbor table is updated with a relatively large relay node sequence number (Fig. 3, CFM technique for beacon transmission, see "if cluster-head is identified with a greater number of cluster-head, table is updated" recited in column 17 lines 22-37) and regarding claim 24, wherein, when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are not equal, the neighbor table is updated with a relatively large relay node sequence number (Fig. 3, CFM technique for beacon transmission, see "if cluster-head is identified with a greater number of cluster-head, table is updated" recited in column 17 lines 22-37).

Art Unit: 2616

Liu et al. does not disclose the following features: regarding claim 14, wherein the step of determining comprises: as a result of the comparison, when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are equal, terminating transmission of the data packet; and when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are not equal to each other, retransmitting the data packet to the neighboring nodes and regarding claim 22, wherein the step of determining comprises: as a result of the comparison, when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are equal, terminating transmission of the data packet; and when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are not equal, retransmitting the data packet to the neighboring nodes.

Riihinen et al. discloses cellular communications for link layer acknowledgement and retransmission with the following features: regarding claim 14, wherein the step of determining comprises: as a result of the comparison, when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are equal, terminating transmission of the data packet (Fig. 8, poll timer start, restart and cancel conditions, see "if sequence number is greater than or equal to poll timer cancels and retransmission stops" recited in column 4 lines 9-15 and column 11 lines 17-33 and 25-26) and when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are not equal to each other, retransmitting the data packet to the neighboring nodes (Fig. 8, poll timer start, restart and cancel conditions, see "if sequence number is greater then poll timer starts for retransmission" recited in column 4 lines 9-15 and column 11 lines 17-33) and regarding claim 22, wherein the step of determining comprises: as a result of the comparison, when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are equal, terminating transmission of the data packet (Fig. 8, poll

Art Unit: 2616

Application/Control Humber: 10/701,0-

timer start, restart and cancel conditions, see "if sequence number is greater than or equal to poll timer cancels and retransmission stops" recited in column 4 lines 9-15 and column 11 lines 17-33 and 25-26) and when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are not equal, retransmitting the data packet to the neighboring nodes (Fig. 8, poll timer start, restart and cancel conditions, see "if sequence number is greater then poll timer starts for retransmission" recited in column 4 lines 9-15 and column 11 lines 17-33).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Liu et al. by using the features, as taught by Riihinen et al., in order to provide function of comparison so that when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are equal, terminating transmission of the data packet and when the first and second relay node sequence numbers are not equal to each other, retransmitting the data packet to the neighboring nodes. The motivation of using the function of comparison is to enhance the system functionalities in a cost effective manner.

7. Claims 15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al (USP 7,184,421 B1) in view of Riihinen et al. (USP 6,697,331 B1) as applied to claim11, 14, 19 and 22 above, and further in view of Zhu et al. (USP 5,768,527).

Art Unit: 2616

Liu et al. and Riihinen et al. describe the claimed limitations as discussed in paragraph 3 and 9 above. Liu et al. and Riihinen et al. do not disclose the following features: regarding claim 15, wherein a number of times for retransmitting the data packet is set to a predetermined number of times, and when the number of times the data packet has been retransmitted exceeds the set number of times, retransmitting the data packet is stopped and regarding claim 23, wherein retransmission of the data packet is set to occur a predetermined number of times, and when the number of times the data packet is retransmitted exceeds the set number of times, retransmitting the data packet is stopped.

Zhu et al. discloses a communication system for real time multimedia streaming with the following features: regarding claim 15, wherein a number of times for retransmitting the data packet is set to a predetermined number of times, and when the number of times the data packet has been retransmitted exceeds the set number of times, retransmitting the data packet is stopped (Fig. 3, multimedia streaming system, see "retransmission message includes number of copies for retransmission and for each retransmission request sent out a timer is started" recited in column 7 lines 50-59) and regarding claim 23, wherein retransmission of the data packet is set to occur a predetermined number of times, and when the number of times the data packet is retransmitted exceeds the set number of times, retransmitting the data packet is stopped (Fig. 3, multimedia streaming system, see "retransmission message includes number of copies for retransmission and for each retransmission request sent out a timer is started" recited in column 7 lines 50-59).

Art Unit: 2616

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Liu et al. with Riihinen et al. by using the features, as taught by Zhu et al., in order to provide the function wherein a number of times for retransmitting the data packet is set to a predetermined number of times, and when the number of times the data packet has been retransmitted exceeds the set number of times, retransmitting the data packet is stopped and regarding. The motivation of using the function of comparison is to enhance the system functionalities in a cost effective manner.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 11 and 19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed Bokhari whose telephone number is (571) 270-3115. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00-17:00 Hrs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang B. Yao can be reached on (571) 272-3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KWANG BIN YAO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER